INF LIFE PLATFORM MEETING

Krakow, Poland, 13-14th October 2014

Report







Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	LIFE Projects & Presentation Summary Sheets	4
3.	Workshop summary	.21
4.	List of participants and contacts	.24

1. Introduction

On 13-14 October 2014 an INF LIFE PLATFORM MEETING "Raising awareness on Natura 2000", took place in Krakow. The aim of this meeting was to bring together beneficiaries of INF LIFE programme from across Europe to share the experience and discuss some of the key issues regarding implementation of the EU legislation, nature conservation and sustainable development in Natura 2000 areas in different parts of Europe, effective mechanisms of transferring knowledge about the Natura 2000 network and changing the attitude of local communities towards the network.

The meeting, attended by representatives of the European Commission, the National Fund for Environmental Protection and Water Management, the Ministry of Environment, the General Directorate for Environmental Protection, the General Directorate of State Forests, the Regional Environmental in Krakow and representatives of 13 INF LIFE projects from Romania, Spain, Italy, Greece, Cyprus, UK, Belgium, Slovenia and Poland, was organized by the Astrale monitoring team and the Foundation for Support of Ecological Initiatives.

The meeting was opened by Ms Sylvia Barova from the European Commission (DG ENV, Nature Unit). She presented to the participants a range of the Commission's communication activities (such as Natura 2000 Awards) conducted by both Nature Unit and Communication Unit of the DG ENV.

Mr Andrzej Langowski (General Directorate for Environmental Protection) focused on development and implementation of N2000 management plans, presenting in detail how participative management planning process looks in Poland.

Mr Bent Jepsen (ASTRALE), who chaired the first day, initiated the session with presentation of the history and the EU perspective in which the LIFE programme operates, mentioning the key issues such as: target groups important for implementation of the Natura 2000 network, green jobs related to biodiversity protection, halting biodiversity loss in the context of social and economic development.

3

2. LIFE Projects & Presentation Summary Sheets

[Presentations from the Platform Meeting are available on: <u>http://www.misjanatura.fwie.pl/index.php/pl/inf-life-platform</u>]

The projects were presented in two sessions:

- Session 1 Assessing the success of communicating the importance of the Natura 2000 and nature and biodiversity in the Natura 2000 network impact of LIFE INF projects on increasing awareness of key stakeholders groups (e.g. farmers, public administrations, consumers, managers of protected areas, etc. and local communities) and ensuring public involvement in conservation of Natura 2000 areas.
- Session 2 Targeted information campaigns as a tool for resolving specific protection issues (species protection, prevention of IAS, sustainable use of resources).

Session 1:

Project	NATURA mission
Project nr	LIFE11 INF/PL/000478
Country	Poland
Status	open
Representati	Marzenna Nowakowska
ve	
Presentation	"Trainings for key stakeholders groups before public consultations on Natura 2000
abstract	management plans – our lessons from the series of trainings "Natura 2000 – the Natural
	Capital" in 7 Natura 2000 sites in Poland"
	The main aim of "Natura Mission" project is to increase public awareness and knowledge on
	the functioning of the Natura 2000 network and better implementation of the EU legislation
	related to management plans (MP) in Poland. Many different activities including: 16 one-day
	trainings, 10 twelve- day trainings in 10 Natura 2000 sites (pointed out by the General
	Directorate for Environmental Protection (GDEP) and all Regional Directorates for
	Environmental Protection (RDEPs), the Natura 2000 Information Centre, TV documentary series
	"Mission: Nature" are undertaken by the Foundation for Support of Ecological Initiatives within
	the project in order to increase understanding of the role of the Natura 2000 network in
	sustainable development of Europe. One of the great opportunities to increase public
	awareness and knowledge on the nearest Natura 2000 sites is the participation of local
	community in developing of the MPs. There are numerous MPs which are currently being
	developed for Natura 2000 sites in Poland. An integral part of the MP preparation process is
	conducting public consultations that involve all the concerned persons and institutions. The
	involved parties may actively influence the contents of this document, both by participating in

	the meetings of the local cooperation team (LCT), and by coming forward with suggestions of
	the records at various stages of drafting MPs. A developed management plan is then adapted
	and remains in force as a decree, or local ordinance, of the Regional Director for Environmental
	Protection.
	Series of trainings being organized in 10 Natura 2000 sites within the scope of the "Natura
	Mission" project carried out by the Foundation for Support of Ecological Initiatives aim to
	prepare local communities to participate in drafting MPs. Each training consists of six 2-day
	meetings devoted not only directly to the Natura 2000 network and MPs but also the issues
	related to sustainable development of the region. Those trainings, which include meetings and
	discussions with local residents of the areas, people representing local administration
	authorities, non-government organizations, as well as entrepreneurs and owners of farms and
	holiday farms, together with knowledge previously derived from available materials and
	publications, allowed to work out models of public consultations for each of these Natura 2000
	sites. These are, in principle, optimum models, based on ready-made procedures and practices,
	and supplemented by experience gained while running the "Natura Mission" project. The
	models contain indications and recommendations of actions that can be undertaken within
	existing guidelines, as well as suggestions of modifications of the latter. One of the lessons
	derived from the trainings was that the distance to own assessment, openness to other
	people's needs, admitting the right to errors and correcting them jointly are the keys to building
	good relationships among key stakeholders groups and lead to MPs that are more readily
	accepted by local communities.
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&
Database	n_proj_id=4344
Project web	www.misjanatura.fwie.pl



Project	NATURA mission
Project nr	LIFE11 INF/PL/000478
Country	Poland
Status	open
Representati	Joanna Cent
ve	
Presentation	How can we accurately evaluate awareness of local residents and levels of conflicts in Natura
abstract	2000 areas? –lessons from "Mission Nature" LIFE INF Project
	"Mission Nature" LIFE INF project comprises of several activities aiming at raising environmental awareness and knowledge on Natura 2000 network among key stakeholders groups, local communities and general society. Developing an effective program of activities, such as workshops, media campaign, consultancy, requires knowledge of stakeholders needs and opinions. Likewise, assessing success or failure of the project's activities entail a systematic evaluation of its effects and outcomes. In this session we present our approach to diagnosis of needs and evaluation of effects in the case of "Mission Nature" project. The presentation includes both design of our research and mid-term results after the first evaluation and reporting period. Methodological and practical challenges of the research, its cost-effectiveness and the role of improving the overall quality of the project are discussed. Presentation presents evaluation plan for workshops, measurement of levels of conflicts over Natura 2000 designations and the impact of the project's activities on reducing such conflicts, as well as the role of the project for promoting tourism in Natura 2000 sites. Also, we will share out approach to defining and measuring such a vast and ambiguous phenomena like conflicts, attitudes and awareness, in order to produce results of practical significance to the project.
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&
Database	n_proj_id=4344
Project website	www.misjanatura.fwie.pl

Project	1.Promoting the importance of the EU Birds Directive in conservation management on farmland
	2.Futurescapes : promoting the development of green infrastructure in 34 priority areas
	throughout the UK
Project	1.LIFE08 INF/UK/000214
number	2.LIFE10 INF/UK/000189
Country	UK
Status	1.closed
	2.open
Representati	1.Anna Broszkiewicz
ve	2.Adrian Southern
Presentation abstract	Legislation into Conversation: speaking clearly about the EU Directives
	The farmland bird project was designed to disseminate key messages from the Birds Directive by encouraging farmers and the public to recognise, appreciate and encourage the promotion of wildlife friendly farming:
	2,183 farmland bird surveys were undertaken by trained volunteers giving farmers species information and advice. This was an immensely popular means of engaging farmers in wildlife conservation. 1,002 advisory visits were made covering 209,297ha of farmland. Approximately half of this area entered into agri-environment schemes, leading to approximately 10% being managed specifically for wildlife.
	65 demonstration farms were set up, hosting 92 farmer workshops, 36 case studies and 87 volunteer workshops. With 2,479 farmers attending workshops hosted by a wildlife-friendly demonstration farmer, these proved to be a very popular means of disseminating information about wildlife-friendly farming in areas with close-knit farming communities.
	The Nature of Farming Award (NoFA) attracted interest from up to 420 farmers each year, and up to 22,069 public votes per year. This was a very successful means of publicising the project getting media interest in wildlife-friendly farming, and finding farmer advocates.
	We attended all of the major agricultural shows - excellent opportunities for project staff to converse with farmers across the spectrum of environmental interests. We used the shows to promote the project, sign up participants and gain feedback from farmers.
	The annual project newsletter and farming e-newsletter kept approximately over 12,000 farmers and volunteers informed of the project actions.
	Although the majority of the project targets were met or exceeded, the national populations of farmland birds has continued to decline. It was estimated that our capacity to give advice to farmers would be limited to approximately 3% of the agricultural land of the UK over the course of 10 years, (the duration of agri-environment schemes). We proposed an extension to the project to trial a targeted approach for advice in focus areas; containing important populations or assemblages of farmland bird species. In total our focus area programme covers 5% of the farmland area of the UK. The aim of these focus areas is to apply sufficient conservation management to demonstrate that it is possible to improve farmland bird populations at a landscape scale.
	The Futurescape project was designed to promote the development of green infrastructure in 38 priority areas throughout the UK, and employed a range of officers and professiona communicators to deliver against 6 key points: 1. Nature is in trouble So are the Directives. We are struggling to halt the loss of biodiversity, yet this problem is still not understood by the

al public. The directives as one of our main mechanisms for halting this loss are under
<i>n</i> , and may be watered down. It is more important than ever to communicate the
rtance of the Natura 2000 network effectively, and more broadly the environmental tives of the EU.
latura 2000 is a hard sell, but we can do it
ave found it challenging to use the terminology "Natura 2000", it can be a hard sell, not
nilar to the term "Futurescape", but it can be done.
nternational importance
ave found that it is easier to convey the Nature 2000 story by sharing the notion of the
national importance" of the landscape, which can engender a sense of pride.
nspire "a sense of pride and place"
ilding on what we talk about, around international importance. Most importantly of all,
g a sense of place to the interests and values of the people we are talking with. Using this
tarting point to inspire broader engagement and action through appropriate support.
at the network
nunicating the wide range of benefits (ecosystem services) that the Nature 2000 network
de e.g. celebrating local food by promoting it and encouraging people to appreciate it.
lourneys and stories
nould see initial engagements with people as part of a journey that we collectively go on
her to share stories of how we see and interact with our special places. This can start
gh peoples interest in their garden or local park, but could take them on a facilitated
ey to understanding the issues in our landscapes and how they can be part of the solution.
p://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage
roj_id=3504
;//ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage
roj id=4028
p://www.rspb.org.uk/whatwedo/projects/details/286806-eu-life-farmland-bird-project
p://www.rspb.org.uk/whatwedo/futurescapes/



Project	LIFE Activa Red Natura 2000 - Natura 2000: Connecting People with Biodiversity
Project nr	LIFE11 INF/ES/000665
Country	Spain
Status	Open
Representati	Beatriz Sánchez
ve	
Presentation	Life+ Natura 2000: Connecting people with biodiversity
abstract	The Life + Project Connecting People with Biodiversity - Natura 2000 (LIFE11 INF/ES/665) aims
	to contribute to the conservation of the Natura 2000 network, through improving the
	knowledge and awareness of the Spanish population. Through different actions aimed at
	different target audiences, the project calls for action to society to know and be involved in the
	conservation of this protected set of high ecological, social and economic value sites where
	nature and human activity coexist. One of the main achievements of the project has been
	undoubtedly the establishment of the May 21st European Day of the Natura 2000 network. It
	has been designed and developed in two editions (2013 and 2014) and communication
	campaign associated with this conclusion, based on a gesture (butterfly effect) has been very
	successful among the public, policy makers, governments, businesses, public figures and
	between European partners BirdLife. In just two editions, it has managed to involve 19
	countries in the celebration. It is estimated that some 19,000 people made the gesture for the
	Natura 2000 network and three million have received information through social networks. We
	expect these numbers keep rising and the campaign will continue in coming years, supported
	by the official declaration as a celebration by the European Commission.
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&
Database	n_proj_id=4342
Project	http://activarednatura2000.com/en/proyecto-life/
website	









Project nr I Country 0 Status 0 Representati I ve Presentation abstract I	the Ecosystem Services in Natura 2000 sites in Crete" LIFE13 INF/GR/000188 Greece open Michalis Probonas The ecological, social and economic values of ecosystem services in Natura 2000 sites in Crete, Greece It is only in the late nineties that EC's Habitats Directive 92/43 - transposed into Greek law as late as 1998 - provided a strong base for the species' environmental protection, while the majority of Natura 2000 sites in Greece and in Crete do not have a specific governance mechanism for their management. As a result, the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete still face numerous environmental problems, such as the destruction of coastal habitats by the development of tourism, the degradation of mountain landscape and the loss of biodiversity
Country Countr	Greece open Michalis Probonas The ecological, social and economic values of ecosystem services in Natura 2000 sites in Crete, Greece It is only in the late nineties that EC's Habitats Directive 92/43 - transposed into Greek law as late as 1998 - provided a strong base for the species' environmental protection, while the majority of Natura 2000 sites in Greece and in Crete do not have a specific governance mechanism for their management. As a result, the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete still face numerous environmental problems, such as the destruction of coastal habitats by the
Status C Representati I ve Presentation abstract I	open Michalis Probonas The ecological, social and economic values of ecosystem services in Natura 2000 sites in Crete, Greece It is only in the late nineties that EC's Habitats Directive 92/43 - transposed into Greek law as late as 1998 - provided a strong base for the species' environmental protection, while the majority of Natura 2000 sites in Greece and in Crete do not have a specific governance mechanism for their management. As a result, the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete still face numerous environmental problems, such as the destruction of coastal habitats by the
Representati ve Presentation abstract	Michalis Probonas The ecological, social and economic values of ecosystem services in Natura 2000 sites in Crete, Greece It is only in the late nineties that EC's Habitats Directive 92/43 - transposed into Greek law as late as 1998 - provided a strong base for the species' environmental protection, while the majority of Natura 2000 sites in Greece and in Crete do not have a specific governance mechanism for their management. As a result, the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete still face numerous environmental problems, such as the destruction of coastal habitats by the
ve Presentation abstract	The ecological, social and economic values of ecosystem services in Natura 2000 sites in Crete, Greece It is only in the late nineties that EC's Habitats Directive 92/43 - transposed into Greek law as late as 1998 - provided a strong base for the species' environmental protection, while the majority of Natura 2000 sites in Greece and in Crete do not have a specific governance mechanism for their management. As a result, the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete still face numerous environmental problems, such as the destruction of coastal habitats by the
Presentation abstract	Crete, Greece It is only in the late nineties that EC's Habitats Directive 92/43 - transposed into Greek law as late as 1998 - provided a strong base for the species' environmental protection, while the majority of Natura 2000 sites in Greece and in Crete do not have a specific governance mechanism for their management. As a result, the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete still face numerous environmental problems, such as the destruction of coastal habitats by the
abstract (Crete, Greece It is only in the late nineties that EC's Habitats Directive 92/43 - transposed into Greek law as late as 1998 - provided a strong base for the species' environmental protection, while the majority of Natura 2000 sites in Greece and in Crete do not have a specific governance mechanism for their management. As a result, the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete still face numerous environmental problems, such as the destruction of coastal habitats by the
	It is only in the late nineties that EC's Habitats Directive 92/43 - transposed into Greek law as late as 1998 - provided a strong base for the species' environmental protection, while the majority of Natura 2000 sites in Greece and in Crete do not have a specific governance mechanism for their management. As a result, the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete still face numerous environmental problems, such as the destruction of coastal habitats by the
	due to intense agriculture, abandonment of traditional farming practices and the human- related mortality of certain species. Most of these problems are intensified by inadequate law enforcement and the poor implementation of EU regulations and environmental policy. Raising awareness in local communities about the importance of species and habitat protection, in combination with the application of management measures, is often a more effective way of achieving conservation goals than implementing national or European legislation. Public awareness is a "hard" conservation action and should always be viewed as such, since in most of the case it constitutes the only effective measure for nature conservation. Regrettably, the majority of the local population in Crete was not properly and adequately informed, thus still many people believe that residing in an Natura 2000 area excludes them from the development opportunities of the rest of the island or specific EU rural funds. The present financial crisis in the country has forced many people of the rural sector to overexploit natural resources in an unsustainable way (excessive and illegal logging for firewood, misuse of water supplies, land abandonment etc.), or to change land uses (transformation of olive groves to photovoltaic installations) that deprive wildlife crucial habitat and degrade the agricultural environment. The LIFE13 INF/GR/00188 project on "The ecological services, social benefits and economic value of ecosystem services in Natura 2000 sites in Crete, Greece" (Acronym: "LIFE Natura2000ValueCrete") aims to support the NATURA 2000 sites in Crete and motivate the public to seize the opportunities and address the challenges identified by integrating the economics of ecosystems and biodiversity into the societal decision-making. Ultimate goal is to show the damage that biodiversity loss will cause to economies and to society in Crete, elucidate misconceptions regarding the EU's environmental policy mainly through the NATURA 2000 Network, and to promote
LIFE I	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&
Database I	n_proj_id=5086



Project	INFONATUR 2000 - Natura 2000?: an opportunity for everyone
Project nr	LIFE11 INF/ES/000683
Country	Spain
Status	open
Representati	Carles Santana
ve	
Presentation	Communicating Nature 2000 in Spain Life+ Infonatur
abstract	A project on the effective communication of Nature 2000 network is ongoing in three areas in
	Spain. Hold by the regional Government of Extremadura, two local Governments in Girona and
	Lleida and the communication agency EFE has as main target detect the main
	misunderstandings on nature conservation and management and the best way to offer
	alternatives on human activities in protected areas.
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&
Database	n_proj_id=4343
Project	
website	



Session 2

Project	SAVING DANUBE STURGEONS - JOINT ACTIONS TO RAISE AWARENESS ON
	OVEREXPLOITATION OF DANUBE STURGEONS IN ROMANIA AND BULGARIA
Project number	LIFE11 INF/AT/000902
Country	Romania
Status	Open
Representative	George Caracas
Presentation	Information actions and discussions in fishing communities along Danube and in the
abstract	Danube Delta
	Sturgeons are the most threatened animals on the IUCN Red List of Threatened species . Of 6 species native to the Danube, Beluga, Stellate Sturgeon, Russian Sturgeon, Ship Sturgeon and Atlantic Sturgeon are listed as Critically Endangered, with Atlantic Sturgeon already extinct here. Only Sterlet is assessed as Vulnerable. For Danube sturgeon populations, quantitative information is hardly available due to very patchy monitoring. However, the decline of Danube sturgeons is clearly documented by the rapidly decreasing catches in the last decades. In Bulgaria, total annual catches fell from 63.5 t in the 1940 to 25.3 t in 1995 – 2002 and in Romania from app. 1144 t in 1940 to less than 8 t in 1995. The main direct threat to the survival of all Danube sturgeons is overexploitation, further aggravated by habitat loss and disruption of spawning migration. Reasons for dramatic decline of these species are complex, but lack of awareness and information is the background of all identified threats and the root cause of the most important one, overfishing. The project provides a major contribution to protect and stop overexploitation of Critically Endangered Danube sturgeons in Bulgaria and Romania and to secure the long term survival of these species with high natural and economic value.
LIFE Database	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspP
	age&n proj id=4340
Project website	http://danube-sturgeons.org/the-project/





Project	Roads for Nature - campaign promoting trees in Poland's rural landscapes as habitats and ecological corridors
Project	LIFE11 INF/PL/000467
number	
Country	Poland
Status	open
Representati ve	Piotr Tyszko-Chmielowiec
Presentation	Roads for Nature – protecting roadside trees together with road services and local authorities
abstract	BACKGROUND: Rows of trees growing along roads and among fields have been a hallmark of traditional European rural landscapes for centuries. They contribute to high biodiversity of cultural landscapes, serving as habitats and green infrastructure. In the last half century, however, the development of transportation infrastructure and land consolidation lead to dramatic decline of trees in Europe's rural landscapes. This leads to the loss of biodiversity, including EU priority species, as well as loss of cultural values.
	PROJECT MISSION is to reverse the decline of rows of trees in rural areas, through raising awareness of decision makers, local communities and the general public on their importance and functions as habitats and green infrastructure, as well as through improving tree planning and maintenance skills in responsible authorities. Thus contribute to halting the loss of biodiversity in rural landscapes, including EU priority species.
	INTERVENTION LOGIC: The main project tool is a local participatory process of planning of tree resources development conducted within a local partnership involving authorities, conservationists, and community, supported by training and educational materials. The change desired will come through modifying the attitudes of public officials, improving the practice of tree resources management, and gaining the support of local communities and the general public.
	ACTIONS AND MEANS include conducting local campaigns in 66 municipalities to promote planning and implementation of tree planting and maintenance, supported by training and education and supplemented by an awareness campaign directed to the general public and engaged individuals countrywide, as well as disseminating activities (seminars, conferences, a film).
	RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS: The partnership with institutions responsible for managing trees was proved to be an effective approach. A knowledge base on managing trees in the open landscape was created and made available in high-quality publications and on the website. It is disseminated at trainings and seminars and in unique publications. A network of experts on tree maintenance and conservation is being developed. A network of local activists in towns and countryside is being developed. The issue of proper management of trees is more often discussed publicly.
	The project has a strong potential to support conservation of all trees in the human environment. The management guidelines created for avenues apply to all trees in green spaces. While treating roadside trees as a priority, we explore application of the know-how to trees in urban environment. This is supported by a growing movement of grassroots initiatives to protect trees, most of which are in towns.
LIFE Database	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage& n_proj_id=4346
Project website	http://aleje.org.pl/



Project	Safe Haven for Wild Birds - A safe haven for wild birds: Changing attitudes towards illegal killing in North Mediterranean for European Biodiversity
Project	LIFE11 INF/IT/000253
number	
Country	Italy
Status	open
Representati	Claudio Celada
ve	
Presentation	Education and Lobby to stop Poaching in three Mediterranean Countries
abstract	The save Have for Wild birds projects targets illegal bird killing in three hopspots of the
	Mediterranean: SW Sardinia (IT), Valencia Comunidad (ES) and the Ionian Islands (GR), where
	three different types of poaching take place. Three national campaign deliver the agreed
	message about the need to enforce existing legislation to ensure biodiversity protection
	as the local killing have impact at both local, national and international level.
	Education activities target local schools, public events promote the issue among local
	communities and advocacy and capacity building facilitates the work of Law Enforcement
	Agencies. International cooperation is promoted by specific events. So far over 30 million
	people in the three countries have been reached through media (Newspapers, Radio, TV) and
	social media.
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&
Database	n_proj_id=4347
Project	http://www.leavingisliving.org/life/index.php/en/
website	





Project	AlterIAS - Increase awareness to curb horticultural introductions of invasive plants in Belgium		
Project nr	LIFE08 INF/B/000052		
Country	Belgium		
Status	closed		
Representati	Mathieu Halford		
ve			
Presentation	Communication and prevention on invasive alien plants: results of the AlterIAS project		
abstract	Voluntary approaches have been recently used in the horticultural sector to deal with the introduction and spread of invasive alien plants. In Belgium, the first Code of conduct has been developed within the frame of the AlterIAS project, a LIFE+ "Information & Communication" project aiming at raising the awareness of horticulture professionals and gardeners on the		
	invasive plants issue. The Belgian Code was prepared in consultation with representatives from the ornamental sector, public authorities and the scientific community. The Code was promoted throughout the country with a specific communication campaign entitled "Plant different". Thanks to communication efforts, a positive dynamic of involvement was observed over time. Surveys were performed to assess the changes of attitudes and the perception of the Code by the target audience of the project. Positive results were achieved for horticulture professionals. However, the Code will require more time to be widely adopted by the ornamental sector in Belgium.		
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&		
Database	n_proj_id=3501		
Project			
website			



Project	EME Natura2000 - Efficient Managers for Efficient Natura2000 Network	
Project nr	LIFE11 INF/RO/000819	
Country	Romania	
Status	open	
Representati	Ioanna Bejan	
ve		
Presentation	Strategic planning and Natura 2000	
abstract	Improving territorial planning in Romania to incorporate biodiversity concerns by providing	
	guidance to the most important economic sectors that are using land and natural resources on	
	how to contribute actively to the development of regional territorial plans that reflect	
	biodiversity concerns, including objectives of the Natura 2000 network.	
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&	
Database	n_proj_id=4341	
Project	www.emenatura2000.ro	
website		



Project	AQUAVIVA - Live Water - from Biodiversity to the Tap		
Project nr	LIFE10 INF/SI/000135		
Country	Slovenia		
Status	closed		
Representati	Marjana Hönigsfeld Adamič		
ve			
Presentation	AQUAVIVA – Communicating aquatic biodiversity		
abstract	The main goal of the project LIFE AQUAVIVA was promoting biodiversity of the freshwater ecosystems in Slovenia and increase public awareness about it. Different approaches were used, among them workshops and nature education days in the schools, outdoor exhibitions and exhibitions in the shopping centres, covering of buses with biodiversity items, websites, social networks, photo competition etc. In the end of the project (2014), public opinion research revealed the increase of public awareness of the term "biodiversity" regarding the year 2010 by 34 % while the share of public well or very well informed about biodiversity loss decreased for 3 %; in 2014, 31% of people captured in survey have recognized the project AQUAVIVA. Therefore, we estimate that 31% of increase in public awareness is a result achieved by the project LIFE AQUAVIVA.		
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&		
Database	n_proj_id=4030		
Project	http://www.aquaviva.si		
website			



Project	BIOforLIFE - An awareness-raising campaign in Cyprus for appreciating biodiversity in our life
Project	LIFE11 INF/CY/000863
number	
Country	Cyprus
Status	open
Representati	Antonis Karatzias
ve	
Presentation	Bio4Life
abstract	Good and not so good practices
LIFE	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.dspPage&
Database	n_proj_id=4350
Project	http://www.cyprusbiodiversity.eu/home.html
website	

3. Workshop summary

The final discussion was facilitated by the experts of Mission for Nature. The participants were asked to chose the three topics which were the most relevant for them to further discuss. They had an opportunity to exchange experience on how to best promote the Natura 2000 programme by:

- Formulation of targeted messages (success stories),
- Use of various media,
- Evaluation of the success.
- 1. Messages related to Natura 2000 and success stories

It is important that the messages related to Natura 2000 passed to local communities should be not only positive, but also true, simple and understandable. People are often suspicious towards strangers talking about the land on which they have lived for generations and their trust is no easily gained. If the message appears to be untrue or unclear, further communication will be blocked. Also, messages addressed to people living on Natura 2000 should emphasize success stories, and focus on the positive outcomes rather than negative. It is important that these stories should refer to Natura 2000 sites which are similar in their socio-economic aspects to the site whose community the message is addressed to. It makes stories more credible and communities are more likely to identify with them.

We should also remember that benefits of living in a Natura 2000 site should be connected to, and shown from the point of view how they meet people's needs. Those can differ between sites, therefore our message should be adapted to the audience. Sometimes the local community's needs are rather basic: food and a shelter, a workplace. In other cases people are interested in new business opportunities, recreation, tourism, etc. We also cannot forget about the Natura 2000 programme's objectives: species and habitats conservation, biodiversity protection, science and education.

The question if there are any cases when communicating Natura 2000 is hopeless was also discussed and if so, where we should focus the efforts in these cases. Although there was no consensus in the group here, most of participants claimed that, in fact, there are no hopeless cases and the most important question is how to transform constraints into opportunities. By talking to, and involving local communities in discussions, those communities can be encouraged to take ownership of Natura 2000's aims and objectives.

2. Media

Nowadays, we can use various media to target different stakeholder groups (newspapers and magazines, TV and radio, internet and social media). Media can be very powerful if well used, and they can serve different purposes. The news will require identifying "hot topics" and presenting them in the way which is expected by media providers. The messages should show urgency, importance and often threats to make the audience interested in them. However, to increase the knowledge of the audience other forms can be applied (essays, articles, websites). For raising interest in conservation activities and encouragement to act – campaigns and calls for action can work best. Social media draw attention mostly of the younger generations and involve them in debates. TV spots, radio ads and newspaper announcements can help with wide promotion of specific actions and event.

3. **Evaluating success** –how to improve promotion of the Natura 2000 by taking into consideration the results of our evaluation?

Evaluation is an important process, although quite often underestimated part of a project and a tool which, when properly used, can highly improve efficiency of the project's actions. It is very important to make the evaluation part of the project's plan, both before, in order to know what is the baseline situation, and after – to understand whether our action brought a change. Using proper tools for evaluation are the keys to success. Preparation of a well formulated questionnaire is crucial if we want to receive valuable information. If it is possible and appropriate, we should aim at making the evaluations "sexy" (e.g. interactive feedback). Well conducted evaluation allows us to gather very useful data, for example gained confidence of stakeholders, degree of acceptance, opinion change, behaviour change, etc. It let us identify trends and find out what works and what does not and which are the most efficient actions (e.g. identify best practice, something that involves the population). However, even the best conducted evaluation will be useless unless we change our actions according to its results. Those lessons learned can also help identify where to focus effort in the future. The results of evaluation can be valuable not only for us, but to other projects as well - that is why sharing experience between projects (Layman's report, conferences, etc.) is so important.

During the final plenary session the participants summarised the results of the working groups and showed how integrated they have become during those days in the efforts to support the promotion and implementation of the Natura 2000 network.



The workshop and its conclusions were featured in the December issue of LIFE news http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/features/2014/nat2000_1.htm#top .

4. List of participants and contacts

PROJECT / INSTITUTION	REPRESENTATIVE	CONTACT
European Commission	Sylvia Barova	sylvia.barova@ec.europa.eu
ASTRALE	Bent Jepsen	bent.jepsen@astrale.org
ASTRALE	Marta Kaczyńska	marta.kaczynska@astrale.org
ASTRALE	Justin Toland	jto@aeidl.eu
ASTRALE	Lucie Trokanova	lucie.trokanova@astrale.org
Ministry of the Environment	Magdalena Dawidowicz	magdalena.dawidowicz@mos.gov.pl
General Directorate for	Andrzej Langowski	andrzej.langowski@gdos.gov.pl
Environmental Protection	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
General Directorate of State	Małgorzata Czyżewska	malgorzata.czyzewska@lasy.gov.pl
Forests		
LIFE10 INF/SI/000135	Marjana Hönigsfeld Adamič	marjana@lutra.si
AQUAVIVA	, ,	, ,
LIFE11 INF/ES/000683	Carles Santana	carles@photo-logistics.com
INFONATUR 2000		
LIFE11 INF/ES/000665	Beatriz Sanchez	bsanchez@seo.org
Natura 2000: Connecting		
people with biodiversity		
LIFE11 INF/IT/000253	Claudio Celada	claudio.celada@lipu.it
Safe Haven for Wildbirds		
LIFE13 INF/GR/000188	Michalis Probonas	mprobonas@nhmc.uoc.gr
LIFE Natura 2000 Value Crete		
LIFE11 INF/CY/000863	Antonis Karatzias	karatziasa@diaspublishing.com
Bio4Life		
LIFE11 INF/AT/000902	George Caracas	gcaracas@wwfdcp.ro
Saving Danube Sturgeons		
LIFE11 INF/RO/000819	Ioana Bejan	ioana.bejan@propark.ro
EME Natura 2000		
LIFE08 INF/UK/000214	Anna Broszkiewicz	anna.broszkiewicz@rspb.org.uk
EU LIFE+ Farmland Bird		
Project		
LIFE10 INF/UK/000189	Adrian Southern	adrian.southern@rspb.org.uk
Futurescapes		
LIFE08 INF/B/000052	Mathieu Haford	mhalford@natagriwal.be
AlterIAS		
LIFE11 INF/PL/000467	Piotr Tyszko Chmielowiec	tyszko@fer.org.pl
Roads for Nature		
LIFE11 INF/PL/000478	Marzenna Nowakowska	fwie.mediacja@gmail.com
NATURA mission		
LIFE11 INF/PL/000478	Joanna Cent	joanna.cent@uj.edu.pl
NATURA mission		
LIFE11 INF/PL/000478	Mariola Mroczka	mariola.mroczka@rdos.krakow.pl
NATURA mission		
LIFE11 INF/PL/000478	Magdalena Szymańska	magdalena.szymanska@rdos.krakow.pl
NATURA mission		
LIFE11 INF/PL/000478	Justyna Gutowska	justyna.gutowska@uj.edu.pl
NATURA mission		
LIFE11 INF/PL/000478	Krzysztof Kus	k.kus@fwie.pl
NATURA mission		

LIFE11 INF/PL/000478	Katarzyna Śnigórska	k.snigorska@fwie.pl
NATURA mission		